

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,193	08/22/2003	Peter Bain	ALTRP087/A997	9066
51501 WEAVER ALI	7590 06/20/200 STIN VILLENEUVE &	EXAM	EXAMINER	
ATTN: ALTERA			GEBRESILASSIE, KIBROM K	
P.O. BOX 702 OAKLAND, C	50 A 94612-0250		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2128	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/646,193	BAIN, PETER					
Examiner		Art Unit					
	KIBROM K. GEBRESILASSIE	2128					

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED	23 May 2008 FAILS	TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	

- 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

AMENDMENTS

- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) ☑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 (b) ☑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);

 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
 - appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-allowable claim(s). 7. X For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) X will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of
 - how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
 - Claim(s) allowed:
 - Claim(s) objected to: ___
 - Claim(s) rejected: _
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: ___

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: .

/Kamini S Shah/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment requires further consideration regarding 112 issues, it seems the amended portion of the limitation does not obfuscate the circuit at all, it cancels the obfuscation process. Overall, it results creating a non-obfuscation version of an electronic design because by adding an entangler circuitry and reversing the output of the entangling input signal could result with original input which is non-obfuscated version of the electronic design. For example, let X be a non-obfuscated version, then an obfuscation version is added which entangling circuitry, then X through entangling circuitry produces Y output, then this Y-output go through the detangling circuitry (i.e. inverse of entangling circuitry) and having an original X-output which is still non-obfuscated version. Therefore, it seems there is no obfuscation is done by entangling the input signal and then reversing the output of the entangling circuitry. Therefore, the amended portion of the claimed invention seems to cancel the purpose of the obfuscation process and end up getting the same result which is non-obfuscated version of the electronic design.

Regarding 101 issue, adding "tangible" to computer readable medium could not resolve the issue of 101. Examiner would like to suggest applicants to amend the claims as being "computer readable storage medium" in order to overcome the rejection. The storage medium could exclude the non-tangible mediums such as carrier waves.